



COMMAND ASSESSMENT CRITERIA



| Score | | Initial crew approach | Planning & Communication | Command & Control | Safety | Support |
|------------------|---------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| BASIC | 0 - 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hazards not eliminated, isolated or removed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No clear extrication plan or IC too focused on single option, no re assessment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC allows team members to take control IC not well positioned to control activities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Little or no concern for PPE or manual handling No on going size up and re-evaluation of scene or rotation of team members No monitoring of cause and effect by IC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC does not encourage team and momentum is slow throughout the evolution |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial priorities not given clearly or not recognised and acted upon | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC overbearing toward team, ignores consultation and fails to listen and liase with team. No handover to head at extrication | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC does not ensure actions are completed logically and efficiently. Activity does not contribute to achieving the plan efficiently. No simultaneous activity, some resources delayed | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC lacks confidence in team and gets too involved in specific techniques or actions |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree of entrapment not identified at all | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC fails to consider impact on casualty and does not ensure team is always advising casualty and medic before actions are taken | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Casualty not extricated. Still significant work to do to create space or develop an extrication pathway |
| EFFICIENT | 4 - 6 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All hazards identified but not effectively managed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extrication plan developed, but IC is slow to recognise problems | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC's skills are clearly recognisable, some instances occur where focus is lost or issues missed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC ensures PPE used appropriately Some attention is paid to manual handling and monitoring of potential causes of injury to members. Occasional re-assessment of size up and rotation of team members | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC provides some encouragement but a good tempo is not maintained throughout the evolution |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slow to identify initial priorities or not all acted upon or not in a timely manner | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC has limited discussion with team or consults excessively. Handover to head not well managed or does not hand back | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Choice of techniques is consistent with achieving plan. Tools and equipment deployed in order to achieve plan. Direction of team activities is logical and consistent with techniques chosen, limited simultaneous activity | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC demonstrates confidence in the team but on occasion becomes focused in coaching on specific techniques |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree of entrapment identified but late in the evolution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communications not consistent throughout resulting in some activities being carried out without awareness of the casualty | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Casualty packaging and removal commenced but not completed |
| THOROUGH | 7 - 10 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All hazards identified and managed effectively (eliminate, isolate or remove) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extrication plan developed with awareness of access, extrication and pathway options. Regular reassessment throughout the evolution. Potential problems anticipated and plan modified as necessary momentum maintained | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thorough command skills demonstrated throughout the evolution. Positioning appropriate throughout | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC effectively controls safety and ensures all team make full use of PPE at all times. Scene is thoroughly and regularly re-assessed. Manual handling well managed and team regularly rotated. IC is constantly aware of potential effect on team members of each action they undertake and correcting any as necessary (e.g. working in the path of undeployed SRS) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC effectively motivates and encourages team and a good tempo is maintained throughout the evolution |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial priorities identified and acted upon efficiently | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC has effective style of communication, consults with team and considers suggestions. Clear handover during casualty movement and returned after movement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All actions contribute to achieving the objective as efficiently as possible Significant simultaneous activity demonstrated, no delays in obtaining resources | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC has full confidence in team who carry out all tasks with little or no additional direction. |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree of entrapment is thoroughly assessed and fed into overall extrication plan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC's concern for the safety and welfare of the casualty is clearly evident by the instructions given | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Casualty extricated, scene secured and all hazards neutralised |